

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 194158

46

ORIGIN EUR-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: EUR/RPE: WRSALTISBURY:DMS

APPROVED: EUR/RPE:WRSALISBURY

----- 021268

P 051651Z AUG 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ROME PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 194158

FOLLOWING REPEAT MONTEVIDEO 2899 ACTION SECSTATE 04 AUG 76

QUOTE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE MONTEVIDEO 2899

FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY RYAN ARA

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: SHUM, UY

SUBJ: REPORTED EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT ACTION ON URUGUAY

REF: BRAZEAL/OLSON TELCON

1. IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING REFERENCED TELCON I CALLED ON A  
PROMINENT EUROPEAN AMBASSADOR. HE TOLD ME HE HAD HAD NO REPEAT  
NO REPORT OF ANY EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT ACTION AGAINST URUGUAY ON  
HUMAN RIGHTS AND DOUBTED IT VERY MUCH AS COMMON MARKET AMBASSADORS  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 194158

HERE HAD NOT REPEAT NOT RECOMMENDED IT. HE SAID THE

DANISH AMBASSADDRESS (RESIDENT IN BUENOS AIRES) WHOSE GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN STIMULATED BY AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL, CAME HERE AND CALLED A MEETING TO SUGGEST SUCH ACTION AND THAT IT HAD GOTTEN NOWHERE.

2. HE ADDED THAT SOME, BUT NOT ALL SO FAR, OF THE AMBASSADORS HAVE NOW BEEN INSTRUCTED TO CONSULT TOGETHER AND RECOMMEND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE GOVERNMENTS WHETHER THEY THINK CONCERTED ACTION OF SOME KIND TAKEN LOCALLY WOULD BE ADVISABLE. THIS CONSULTATION HAS NOT YET TAKEN PLACE, HE SAID, BECAUSE SKME HAVE NOT YET RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS.

3. ASKED WHAT HE THOUGHT THE COMMON VIEW MIGHT BE, THE AMBASSADOR SAID HE DOUBTED THERE COULD BE AN AGREEMENT ON SIGNIFICANT ACTION. WHAT HE PERSONALLY THOUGHT MIGHT BE AGREED ON AND WOULD RECOMMEND WOULD BE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE LEVEL REPRESENTATION TO URUGUAY ON BEHALF OF THE GROUP, EXPRESSING CONCERN OF THEIR GOVERNMENTS OVER REPORTED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. BEING SPECIFIC, HE SAID THAT SINCE HOLLAND WAS NOW CHAIRMAN OF THE GROUP HERE AND THE AMBASSADOR ABSENT, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IN HIS VIEW FOR THE DUTCH CHARGE D'AFFAIRES TO TAKE THE ACTION WHICH HE FORESEES AS POSSIBLE WITH THE UNDER SECRETARY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS. BUT HE EMPHASIZED THAT HE WAS NOT CERTAIN AGREEMENT COULD BE REACHED TO GO EVEN THIS FAR.

4. WHEN ASKED FOR HIS OWN PERSONAL VIEW HE SAID HE THOUGHT THIS LOW-LEVEL APPROACH WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE AS FAR AS HIS GOVERNMENT IS CONCERNED BUT THAT HE WAS GOING TO RECOMMEND IN THE MOST STRONG TERMS THAT IT WOULD BE AGAINST THE INTEREST OF HIS OWN GOVERNMENT AND OF THE COMMON MARKET GROUP AS SUCH TO BECOME IDENTIFIED WITH THE PROGRAM OF AI WHICH HE CONSIDERED TO BE UNOBJECTIVE, BIASED AND EXAGGERATED.

5. THE CHARGE D'AFFAIRES OF A MAJOR EUROPEAN COUNTRY WAS ALSO CONSULTED. HE LIKewise HAD NO WORD AS TO EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT ACTION. BUT HE DID SAY THAT THE GROUP HERE HAD CONSULTED AND AGREED SPECIFICALLY TO RECOMMEND AGAINST ANY SUCH ACTION BECAUSE AS HE SAID "THE HUMAN RIGHTS SITUATION WAS NOT AS BAD AS IT HAD BEEN(WE ASSUMED HE REFERRED TO THE DANISH INITIATIVE). WHAT IS NOW GOING ON, HE SAID, IS PROSPECTIVE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 194158

(WHEN ALL ARE INSTRUCTED) CONSULTATION AS TO WHETHER ANY CONCERTED ACTION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL COULD BE AGREED UPON AND RECOMMENDED. THIS COINCIDES WITH THE AMBASSADOR'S VERSION OUTLINED ABOVE.

6. COMMENT: ASKED WHETHER CURRENT DISCUSSION OF WHETHER TO TAKE UP THE SUBJECT OF HUMAN RIGHTS AS SUCH WITH THE GOU MEANS THAT, IN GENERATION THE EUROPEAN AMBASSADORS HERE, INDIVIDUALLY, HAVE

NOT YET DONE SO, THE AMBASSADOR QUERRIED SAID THAT WAS TRUE.  
FOR HIS OWN PART, HE SAID, HE AND OTHERS IN HIS EMBASSY  
(AS HAS OTHER EUROPEAN EMBASSIES) HAVE TAKEN UP SPECIFIC  
CASES OF THEIR NATIONALS DETAINED, BUT THEY HAVE NOT GENERALLY  
MADE REPRESENTATION ON SUBJECT OF HUMAN RIGHTS ITSELF. IT IS  
OBVIOUS, ALSO, THAT THIS SUBJECT IS BEING APPROACHED SOMEWHAT  
GINGERLY. I AM SURE THE DEPARTMENT CAN SEE THIS IN STARK CONTRAST  
TO THE FACT THAT THIS EMBASSY HAS FOR A VERY LONG TIME BEEN  
ENGAGED IN SERIOUS, DIRECT AND COMPREHANSIVE REPRESENTATIONS WITH  
GOU OFFICIALS ON HUMAN RIGHTS, BEGINNING WITH THE AMBASSADOR'S  
FIRST INTRODUCTION TO THE SUBJECT WITH PRESIDENT BORDABERRY  
IN DECEMBER 1973.  
SIRACUSA

UNQUOTE HABAB

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** HUMAN RIGHTS, PERSONAL OPINION, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 05 AUG 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** morefirh  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976STATE194158  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** EUR/RPE: WRSALTISBURY:DMS  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760302-0621  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760881/aaaacszi.tel  
**Line Count:** 130  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN EUR  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** morefirh  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 06 APR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <06 APR 2004 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <06 APR 2004 by morefirh>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** REPORTED EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT ACTION ON URUGUAY  
**TAGS:** SHUM, UY  
**To:** EC BRUSSELS LONDON DUBLIN MULTIPLE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006